

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COYOTE LAKE-HARVEY BEAR RANCH COUNTY PARK MASTER PLAN EIR

	<u>Page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Introduction.....	ES-1
Summary of Goals and Need for the Master Plan	ES-1
Public Involvement	ES-2
Master Plan Summary.....	ES-2
Management Plan Alternatives Summary.....	ES-4
No Action Alternative	ES-4
Master Plan Alternative 1: No Golf Course	ES-4
Master Plan Alternative 2: Trail Access Only.....	ES-4
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-5
1. INTRODUCTION	
Introduction.....	1-1
History of Planning Efforts for Coyote Lake Harvey Bear Ranch County Park	1-2
The Early Years – 1936 to 1990.....	1-2
The Recent Past – 1990 to 1997.....	1-2
Planning Efforts Today	1-3
Approach of the EIR.....	1-3
Environmental Review Process	1-5
Organization of the Draft EIR.....	1-6
2. <u>PROPOSED PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>	
Park Location.....	2-1
Goals and Need for the Master Plan	2-1
The Proposed Master Plan	2-4
Overview	2-4
Recreational Program Elements	2-4
Park Trails Plan	2-20
Historic Preservation and Interpretation.....	2-27
Natural Resource Management	2-27
Project Phasing	2-29
Phase 1 Program Elements	2-29
Phase 2 and Phase 3 Program Elements.....	2-30
Mitigation Measures Included in the Project	2-30
Design Guidelines	2-31
Best Management Practices.....	2-32

	<u>Page</u>
3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
Air Quality	3-1
Biological Resources	3-12
Cultural Resources	3-48
Geology, Geohazards and Soils	3-68
Hazardous Materials	3-86
Hydrology, Floodplains and Water Quality	3-96
Land Use, Plans and Policies	3-110
Noise	3-117
Public Services and Utilities	3-131
Recreation	3-149
Traffic and Circulation	3-159
Visual Resources	3-198
4. MASTER PLAN ALTERNATIVES	
Methodology	4-1
Master Plan Alternatives Screening Process	4-1
No Action Alternative	4-2
Description	4-2
Impacts and Reasons for Rejection	4-2
Master Plan Alternative 1: No Golf Course	4-2
Description	4-2
Impacts and Reasons for Rejection	4-3
Master Plan Alternative 2: Trails Access Only	4-4
Description	4-4
Impacts and Reasons for Rejection	4-4
Alternatives Considered but Dismissed	4-5
Master Plan Alternative A	4-5
Impacts and Reasons for Rejection	4-6
Environmentally Superior Alternative	4-6
5. CEQA STATUTORY SECTIONS	
Introduction	5-1
Short-Term Versus Long-Term Productivity	5-1
Significant Irreversible Effects	5-1
Growth Inducement	5-2
Cumulative Impacts	5-2
<u>Biological Resources</u>	5-5
<u>Hydrology, Floodplains and Water Quality</u>	5-5
<u>Traffic and Circulation</u>	5-7
<u>Other Issue Areas</u>	5-7
6. LIST OF PREPARERS	
Acknowledgements	6-1
List of Preparers	6-2

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Notice of Preparation A-1
 Appendix B: List of NOP Respondents B-1
 Appendix C: Laws, Regulations, Ordinances, and Policies C-1
 Appendix D: Traffic Data D-1
Appendix E: USFWS Species List E-1
Appendix F: Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program F-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Site Location Map 2-2
 Figure 2-2: Draft Master Plan..... 2-16
 Figure 2-3: Proposed Western Flat Facilities 2-19
 Figure 2-4: County-Wide Trails Master Plan Routes ~~Proposed Trail Segments and Abandoned Trail Segments (Proposed)~~ 2-21
 Figure 2-5: Ranch Road Network – Segments to Retain and Abandon (Proposed)..... 2-23
 Figure 2-6: Proposed Trails 2-24
 Figure 2-7: Trail Construction Priorities 2-25
 Figure 3-1: Vegetation and Wildlife Habitats 3-14
 Figure 3-2: Prehistoric Archeological Sensitivity Map 3-56
 Figure 3-3: Historic Archeological Sensitivity Map 3-59
 Figure 3-4: Fault Map..... 3-70
 Figure 3-5: Alquist-Priolo Fault Rupture Hazard Zone..... 3-75
 Figure 3-6: Soils and Hydrology 3-77
 Figure 3-7: Land Use..... 3-112
 Figure 3-8: Effects of Noise on People 3-118
 Figure 3-9: Recreation..... 3-151
 Figure 3-10: Project Site and Intersection Locations 3-160
 Figure 3-11: Existing Roadway Intersection Geometrics..... 3-163
 Figure 3-12: Existing Weekday AM Traffic Volumes..... 3-166
 Figure 3-13: Existing Weekday PM Traffic Volumes..... 3-167
 Figure 3-14: Existing ~~Weekday~~ Weekend Traffic Volumes 3-168
 Figure 3-15: Project Trip Distribution – Western Flat Area 3-177
 Figure 3-16: Project Trip Distribution – Mendoza Ranch and Lakeside Areas 3-178
 Figure 3-17: Existing + Project Phase 1 AM Traffic Volumes 3-179
 Figure 3-18: Existing + Project Phase 1 PM Traffic Volumes..... 3-180
 Figure 3-19: Existing + Project Phase 1 Weekend Traffic Volumes..... 3-181
 Figure 3-20: Cumulative (General Plan Buildout) Weekday AM Traffic Volumes 3-183
 Figure 3-21: Cumulative (General Plan Buildout) Weekday PM Traffic Volumes 3-184
 Figure 3-22: Cumulative (General Plan Buildout) Weekend Traffic Volumes..... 3-185
 Figure 3-23: Cumulative + Project Buildout Weekday AM Traffic Volumes 3-193
 Figure 3-24: Cumulative + Project Buildout Weekday PM Traffic Volumes..... 3-194
 Figure 3-25: Cumulative + Project Buildout Weekend Traffic Volumes..... 3-195

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1: Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures ES-6

Table 2-1: Coyote Lake-Harvey Bear Ranch County Park
Master Plan Program Elements 2-5

Table 3-1: Air Quality Data Summary (1997-2001) for the Project Area 3-3

Table 3-2: State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3-4

Table 3-3: Vehicle Emissions 3-10

Table 3-4: Special Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur in
Coyote-Lake Harvey Bear Ranch County Park..... 3-19

Table 3-5: Special Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in
Coyote-Lake Harvey Bear Ranch County Park..... 3-23

Table 3-6: Major Active Faults in the Vicinity of
Coyote Lake-Harvey Bear Ranch County Park..... 3-72

Table 3-7: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale 3-73

Table 3-8: Sound-Level Measurements in the Vicinity of
Coyote Lake Harvey Bear Ranch County Park 3-122

Table 3-9: Summary of Long-Term Noise Measurement on
Roop Road over Holiday Weekend 3-122

Table 3-10: Noise Compatibility Standards for Land Use in Santa Clara County..... 3-123

Table 3-11: Significance of Change in Cumulative Noise Exposure..... 3-126

Table 3-12: Typical Construction Noise Levels 3-127

Table 3-13: Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment 3-127

Table 3-14: Existing and Projected Weekend Peak-Hour Traffic Noise Levels along
Roadways in the Park Vicinity 3-130

Table 3-15: Study Area Intersections and Traffic Control..... 3-162

Table 3-16: Level of Service Definitions..... 3-165

Table 3-17: Existing Intersection Levels of Service 3-169

Table 3-18: Project Activity Summary 3-172

Table 3-19: Project Trip Generation Rates 3-173

Table 3-20: Project Trip Generation (Vehicles)..... 3-174

Table 3-21: Project Trip Generation (Passenger Car Equivalent) 3-175

Table 3-22: Existing + Project-Level Components Intersection Levels of Service 3-182

Table 3-23: Cumulative without Master Plan LOS without
Local Congestion Management Measures..... 3-186

Table 3-24: Cumulative without Master Plan Recommended
Local Congestion Management Measures..... 3-187

Table 3-25: Cumulative without Master Plan LOS with
Local Congestion Management Measures..... 3-187

Table 3-26: Cumulative Plus Master Plan Buildout LOS without
Local Congestion Management Measures Average Daily Conditions 3-190

Table 3-27: Cumulative Plus Master Plan Buildout LOS with
Congestion Management Measures..... 3-190

Table 4-1: Comparison of Master Plan Alternatives Impacts 4-7