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Pretest Questions

Select the Best Answer:

1. The best treatment system for addiction is:
   (a) A 28-day stay in inpatient rehabilitation with much education.
   (b) A broad continuum of care with all levels of care separated to maintain group trust.
   (c) Not possible now that managed care has placed so much emphasis on cost-containment.
   (d) A broad range of services designed to be as seamless as possible for continuity of care.
   (e) Short stay inpatient hospitalization for psychoeducation.

2. The six assessment dimensions of the ASAM Criteria:
   (a) Help assess the individual’s comprehensive needs in treatment.
   (b) Provide a structure for assessing severity of illness and level of function.
   (c) Requires that there be access to medical and nursing personnel when necessary.
   (d) Can help focus the treatment plan on the most important priorities.
   (e) All of the above.

3. The true spirit and content of The ASAM Criteria ensures that:
   (a) All withdrawal management occurs in a medically-monitored level to provide maximum safety.
   (b) The length of stay is variable depending on the severity of illness and the patient’s progress.
   (c) The patient stays and graduates from each level of care as determined by the primary counselor.
   (d) Long-term residential treatment is always necessary if the client lives in a toxic environment.

Indicate True or False:

7. It is not the severity or functioning that determines the treatment plan, but the diagnosis, preferably in DSM terms. ( ) ( )

8. There are six broad levels of care in the ASAM Criteria. ( ) ( )

9. Dimension 5 focuses on internal attitudes, beliefs and coping skills to deal with relapse. ( ) ( )

10. The level of care placement is the first decision to make in the assessment. ( ) ( )

11. The Tobacco Use Disorder section encourages all programs to become tobacco-free. ( ) ( )

12. In criminal justice populations, it is important to ensure patients “do treatment” not “do time” just focused on how long they have to stay. ( ) ( )

13. The co-occurring disorders section added a “complexity capable” description. ( ) ( )

14. Clients in early stages of change need relapse prevention strategies. ( ) ( )
A. Underlying Principles and Concepts of The ASAM Criteria

1. Generations of Clinical Care

(a) Complications-driven Treatment

- No diagnosis of Substance Use Disorder
- Treatment of complications of addiction with no continuing care
- Relapse triggers treatment of complications only

(b) Diagnosis, Program-driven Treatment

- Diagnosis determines treatment
- Treatment is the primary program and aftercare
- Relapse triggers a repeat of the program

(c) Individualized, Clinically-driven Treatment
(d) Measurement-Based Practice (Feedback Informed Treatment)

**PARTICIPANT ASSESSMENT**

Data from all BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL Dimensions

**PROGRESS**

Treatment Response:
Clinical functioning, psychological, social/interpersonal LOF
Proximal Outcomes e.g., Session Rating Scale; Outcome Rating Scale

**PROBLEMS or PRIORITIES**

Build engagement and alliance working with multidimensional obstacles inhibiting the client from getting what they want.

**PLAN**

BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL Treatment
Intensity of Service (IS) - Modalities and Levels of Service

B. **Overview of ASAM Assessment Dimensions, Levels of Care and What’s New**

1. **Assessment of Biopsychosocial Severity and Function** (*The ASAM Criteria* 2013, pp 43-53)

The common language of six ASAM Criteria dimensions determine needs/strengths in behavioral health:

1. Acute intoxication and/or withdrawal potential
2. Biomedical conditions and complications
3. Emotional/behavioral/cognitive conditions and complications
4. Readiness to Change
5. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem potential
6. Recovery environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Dimensions</th>
<th>Assessment and Treatment Planning Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Acute Intoxication and/or Withdrawal Potential</td>
<td>Assessment for intoxication and/or withdrawal management. Withdrawal management in a variety of levels of care and preparation for continued addiction services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Biomedical Conditions and Complications</td>
<td>Assess and treat co-occurring physical health conditions or complications. Treatment provided within the level of care or through coordination of physical health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Emotional, Behavioral or Cognitive Conditions and Complications</td>
<td>Assess and treat co-occurring diagnostic or sub-diagnostic mental health conditions or complications. Treatment provided within the level of care or through coordination of mental health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Readiness to Change</td>
<td>Assess stage of readiness to change. If not ready to commit to full recovery, engage into treatment using motivational enhancement strategies. If ready for recovery, consolidate and expand action for change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relapse, Continued Use or Continued Problem Potential</td>
<td>Assess skills to cope with cravings, triggers, impulses, mental health flare-ups. to use. May or may not be ready for relapse prevention services. If still at early stages of change, focus on raising consciousness of consequences of continued use or problems with motivational strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Recovery Environment</td>
<td>Assess need for specific individualized family or significant other, housing, financial, vocational, educational, legal, transportation, childcare services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **Biopsychosocial Treatment - Overview: 5 M’s**
   * Motivate - Dimension 4 issues; engagement and alliance building
   * Manage - the family, significant others, work/school, legal
   * Medication – withdrawal management; HIV/AIDS; anti-craving anti-addiction meds (MAT); disulfiram, methadone; buprenorphine, naltrexone, acamprosate, psychotropic medication
   * Meetings - AA, NA, Al-Anon; SMART Recovery, Dual Recovery Anonymous, etc.
   * Monitor - continuity of care; relapse prevention; family and significant others

3. **Treatment Levels of Service** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 106-107)*
   1. Outpatient Services
   2. Intensive Outpatient/Partial Hospitalization Services
   3. Residential/Inpatient Services
   4. Medically-Managed Intensive Inpatient Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASAM Criteria Level of Withdrawal Management Services for Adults</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Note: There are no separate Withdrawal Management Services for Adolescents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Withdrawal Management without Extended On-Site Monitoring</td>
<td>1-WM</td>
<td>Mild withdrawal with daily or less than daily outpatient supervision; likely to complete withdrawal management and to continue treatment or recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Withdrawal Management with Extended On-Site Monitoring</td>
<td>2-WM</td>
<td>Moderate withdrawal with all day WM support and supervision; at night, has supportive family or living situation; likely to complete WM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed Residential Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>3.2-WM</td>
<td>Moderate withdrawal, but needs 24-hour support to complete WM and increase likelihood of continuing treatment or recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Monitored Inpatient Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>3.7-WM</td>
<td>Severe withdrawal and needs 24-hour nursing care and physician visits as necessary; unlikely to complete WM without medical, nursing monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Managed Inpatient Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>4-WM</td>
<td>Severe, unstable withdrawal and needs 24-hour nursing care and daily physician visits to modify WM regimen and manage medical instability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASAM Criteria Levels of Care</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Same Levels of Care for Adolescents except Level 3.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Assessment and education for at risk individuals who do not meet diagnostic criteria for Substance-Related Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Less than 9 hours of service/week (adults); less than 6 hours/week (adolescents) for recovery or motivational enhancement therapies/ strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Outpatient</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9 or more hours of service/week (adults); 6 or more hours/week (adolescents) to treat multidimensional instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Hospitalization</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20 or more hours of service/week for multidimensional instability not requiring 24 hour care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed Low-Intensity Residential</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>24 hour structure with available trained personnel; at least 5 hours of clinical service/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically Managed Population-Specific High-Intensity Residential Services (Adult criteria only)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>24 hour care with trained counselors to stabilize multidimensional imminent danger. Less intense milieu and group treatment for those with cognitive or other impairments unable to use full active milieu or therapeutic community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed High-Intensity Residential</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24 hour care with trained counselors to stabilize multidimensional imminent danger and prepare for outpatient treatment. Able to tolerate and use full active milieu or therapeutic community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Monitored Intensive Inpatient</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>24 hour nursing care with physician availability for significant problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. Sixteen hour/day counselor ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Managed Intensive Inpatient</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24 hour nursing care and daily physician care for severe, unstable problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. Counseling available to engage patient in treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid Treatment Services</td>
<td>OTS</td>
<td>Opioid Treatment Program (OTP) – agonist meds: methadone, buprenorphine; Office Based Opioid Treatment (OBOT); antagonist medication - naltrexone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Moving from one-dimensional to multidimensional assessment
  The ASAM Criteria continues to encourage moving away from treatment based on diagnosis alone (i.e., seeing a diagnosis as a sufficient justification for entering a certain modality or intensity of treatment) toward treatment that is holistic and able to address multiple needs.

- Moving from program-driven to clinically driven and outcomes-driven treatment
  Rather than focusing on “placement” in a program, with a fixed length of stay, The ASAM Criteria supports individualized, person-centered treatment responsive to assessed needs and Tx.progress.

- Moving from fixed length of service to variable length of service
  Because no one treatment is effective for all patients, length of stay must be individualized, based on the severity and level of function of the patient’s illness, as well as based on their response to treatment, progress, and outcomes. At the same time, research does show a positive correlation between longer treatment in the continuum of care and better outcomes.

- Moving from a limited number of discrete levels of care to a broad and flexible continuum of care
  Treatment is delivered across a continuum of services that reflect the varying severity of illnesses treated and the intensity of services required. For both clinical and financial reasons, the preferable level of care is that which is the least intensive while still meeting treatment objectives and providing safety and security for the patient. A patient may begin at a required level and move to a more or less intensive level of care, depending on his or her individual needs.

- Clarifying the goals of treatment
  Treatment that is tailored to the needs of the individual and guided by an individualized treatment plan, developed in consultation with the patient, is helpful in establishing a therapeutic alliance and therefore contributing significantly to treatment outcomes.

- Moving away from using “treatment failure” as an admission prerequisite
  This term has been used by some reimbursement or managed care organizations as a prerequisite for approving admission to a more intensive level of care (for example, “failure” in outpatient treatment as a prerequisite for admission to inpatient treatment). This does not recognize the obvious parallels between addictive disorders and other chronic diseases such as diabetes or hypertension. For example, failure of outpatient treatment is not a prerequisite for acute inpatient admission for diabetic ketoacidosis or hypertensive crisis.

- Moving toward an interdisciplinary, team approach to care
  The ASAM Criteria maintains and builds on ASAM’s previous efforts to respond to ongoing changes and needs within the special field of addiction treatment. It also recognizes that with health reform, more services to persons with addiction will be delivered outside of a separate (and separately funded) specialty treatment system for addiction and will be delivered inside of general medical and general behavioral health settings. Addiction care has always been built around services involving interdisciplinary teams of professionals, including and sometimes led by physicians. With health reform, addiction care as well as mental health care will increasingly be delivered by clinicians working in interdisciplinary teams of not only “addiction professionals” but also general medical care professionals.

- Focusing on treatment outcomes
  Increasingly, funding will be based not on the service provided, but on the outcomes achieved. Treatment services and reimbursement based on patient engagement and outcome is consistent with trends in disease and illness management, especially when conducted in real-time during the treatment experience, as with the management of hypertension or diabetes. With these chronic illnesses, changes to the treatment plan are based on treatment outcomes and tracked by real-time measurement at every visit (e.g., blood pressure or blood sugar levels are monitored to determine the success of the current treatment regimen). While there has been increased attention on Evidence-Based Practices (EBP), more focus on patient engagement and outcomes-driven services is still needed.
• Engaging with “Informed Consent”
  Treatment adherence and outcomes are enhanced by patient collaboration and shared decision-making.
  To engage people in treatment, person-centered services encompass clear information to patients. This
  requires informed consent, indicating that the adult, adolescent, legal guardian, and/or family member
  has been made aware of the proposed modalities of treatment, the risks and benefits of such treatment,
  appropriate alternative treatment modalities and the risks of treatment versus no treatment.

• Clarifying “Medical Necessity”
  This concept is central to judgments for third-party payers and managed care organizations to
determine appropriateness of care. Because substance use, addictive and mental disorders are
biopsychosocial in etiology and expression, treatment and care management are most effective if they,
too, are biopsychosocial. The six assessment dimensions identified in The ASAM Criteria encompass
all pertinent biopsychosocial aspects of addiction and mental health that determine the severity of the
patient’s illness and level of function. “Medical necessity” encompasses all six assessment dimensions
so that a more holistic concept would be “Necessity of Care,” or “clinical appropriateness.”

• Harnessing ASAM’s Definition of Addiction
  There is a “short version” definition of addiction (shown below), as well as a “long version” definition
(available at http://www.asam.org/for-the-public/definition-of-addiction), which serves as more of a
description of the condition. In April of 2011, these two versions were unanimously adopted as official
ASAM statements.

  Short version: “Addiction is a primary, chronic disease of brain reward, motivation, memory and
related circuitry. Dysfunction in these circuits leads to characteristic biological, psychological, social
and spiritual manifestations. This is reflected in an individual pathologically pursuing reward and/or
relief by substance use and other behaviors.”

C. **How to Organize Assessment Data to Match Level of Care**

1. **Developing the Treatment Contract** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, page 58)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Clinical Assessment</th>
<th>Treatment Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What?</td>
<td>What does client want?</td>
<td>What does client need?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why?</td>
<td>Why now? What's the level of commitment?</td>
<td>Why? What reasons are revealed by the assessment data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How?</td>
<td>How will s/he get there?</td>
<td>How will you get him/her to accept the plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where?</td>
<td>Where will s/he do this?</td>
<td>Where is the appropriate setting for treatment? What is indicated by the placement criteria?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When?</td>
<td>When will this happen? How quickly? How badly does s/he want it?</td>
<td>When? How soon? What are realistic expectations? What are milestones in the process?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **Assessing Severity and Level of Function** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 54-56)*

To determine the multidimensional severity or level of function profile, consider each of the six ASAM ASAM Criteria dimensions as regards pertinent assessment data organized under the three H’s - History, Here and Now, How Worried Now.

The *History* of a client’s past signs, symptoms and treatment is important, but never overrides the *Here and Now* of how a client is presenting currently in signs and symptoms. e.g., if a person has by History had severe alcohol withdrawal with seizures, but has not been drinking Here and Now at a rate or quantity that would predict any significant withdrawal; and as you look at them, they are not shaky or in withdrawal so you are not Worried about severe withdrawal - then there is no significant Dimension 1 severity.
The Here and Now presentation of a client’s current information of substance use and mental health signs and symptoms can override the History e.g., if a person has never had serious suicidal behavior before by History; and in the Here and Now is indeed depressed and impulsively suicidal, you would not dismiss their severe suicidality just because they had never done anything serious before. Especially if you talked with them now and you are Worried that they could not reach out to someone if they became impulsive, then the Dimension 3 severity would be quite high.

How Worried Now you are as the clinician, counselor or assessor determines your severity or level of function (LOF) rating for each ASAM dimension. The combination of the three H’s: History; Here and Now; and How Worried Now guides the clinician in presenting the severity and LOF profile.

3. **Rating Risk on a Scale of 0 - 4** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 57, 74-89)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK RATING</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>This rating would indicate issues of <strong>utmost severity</strong>. The patient would present with critical impairments in coping and functioning, with signs and symptoms, indicating an “<strong>imminent danger</strong>” concern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>This rating would indicate a <strong>serious issue</strong> or difficulty coping within a given dimension. A patient presenting at this level of risk may be considered in or near “imminent danger.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>This rating would indicate <strong>moderate difficulty</strong> in functioning. However, even with moderate impairment, or somewhat persistent chronic issues, relevant skills or support systems may be present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>This rating would indicate a <strong>mildly difficult issue</strong>, or present minor signs and symptoms. Any existing chronic issues or problems would be able to be resolved in a short period of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>This rating would indicate a <strong>non-issue or very low-risk issue</strong>. The patient would present no current risk and any chronic issues would be mostly or entirely stabilized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Imminent Danger** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp. 65-58) - Three components:*

1. A strong probability that certain behaviors (such as continued alcohol or other drug use or addictive behavior relapse) will occur.

2. The likelihood that such behaviors will present a significant risk of serious adverse consequences to the individual and/or others (as in reckless driving while intoxicated, or neglect of a child).

3. The likelihood that such adverse events will occur in the very near future, within hours and days, rather than weeks or months.

D. **How and When to Use the Criteria**

1. **Continued Service and Discharge Criteria** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 299-306)*

After the admission criteria for a given level of care have been met, the criteria for continued service, discharge or transfer from that level of care are as follows:

**Continued Service Criteria:** It is appropriate to retain the patient at the present level of care if:

1. The patient is making progress, but has not yet achieved the goals articulated in the individualized treatment plan. Continued treatment at the present level of care is assessed as necessary to permit the patient to continue to work toward his or her treatment goals;
2. The patient is not yet making progress but has the capacity to resolve his or her problems. He or she is actively working on the goals articulated in the individualized treatment plan. Continued treatment at the present level of care is assessed as necessary to permit the patient to continue to work toward his or her treatment goals; and/or

3. New problems have been identified that are appropriately treated at the present level of care. This level is the least intensive at which the patient’s new problems can be addressed effectively.

To document and communicate the patient’s readiness for discharge or need for transfer to another level of care, each of the six dimensions of the ASAM criteria should be reviewed. If the criteria apply to the patient’s existing or new problem(s), the patient should continue in treatment at the present level of care. If not, refer to the Discharge/Transfer Criteria, below.

**Discharge/Transfer Criteria:** It is appropriate to transfer or discharge the patient from the present level of care if he or she meets the following criteria:

1. The patient has achieved the goals articulated in his or her individualized treatment plan, thus resolving the problem(s) that justified admission to the current level of care; or

2. The patient has been unable to resolve the problem(s) that justified admission to the present level of care, despite amendments to the treatment plan. Treatment at another level of care or type of service therefore is indicated; or

3. The patient has demonstrated a lack of capacity to resolve his or her problem(s). Treatment at another level of care or type of service therefore is indicated; or

4. The patient has experienced an intensification of his or her problem(s), or has developed a new problem(s), and can be treated effectively only at a more intensive level of care.

To document and communicate the patient’s readiness for discharge or need for transfer to another level of care, each of the six dimensions of the ASAM criteria should be reviewed. If the criteria apply to the existing or new problem(s), the patient should be discharged or transferred, as appropriate. If not, refer to the Continued Service criteria.

**E. Readiness to Change - Dimension 4**

1. **Definitions of Compliance and Adherence**
Webster’s Dictionary defines “comply” as follows: to act in accordance with another’s wishes, or with rules and regulations. It defines “adhere”: to cling, cleave (to be steadfast, hold fast), stick fast.

2. **Stages of Change and How People Change**
   - 12-Step model - surrender versus comply; accept versus admit; identify versus compare
   - Transtheoretical Model of Change (Prochaska and DiClemente):
     - **Pre-contemplation:** not yet considering the possibility of change although others are aware of a problem; no interest in change; seldom appear for treatment without coercion; could benefit from non-threatening information to raise awareness of a possible “problem” and possibilities for change.
     - **Contemplation:** ambivalent, undecided, vacillating between whether he/she really has a “problem” or needs to change; wants to change, but this desire exists simultaneously with no interest in changing; may seek professional advice to get an objective assessment; motivational strategies useful at this stage, but aggressive or premature confrontation produces discord and defensive behaviors; many Contemplators have indefinite plans to take action in the next six months or so.
**Preparation**: takes person from decisions made in Contemplation stage to the specific steps to be taken to solve the problem in the Action stage; increasing confidence in the decision to change; certain tasks that make up the first steps on the road to Action; most people planning to take action within the very next month; making final adjustments before they begin to change their behavior.

**Action**: specific actions intended to bring about change; overt modification of behavior and surroundings; most busy stage of change requiring the greatest commitment of time and energy; care not to equate action with actual change; support and encouragement still very important to prevent drop out and regression in readiness to change.

**Maintenance**: sustain the changes accomplished by previous action and prevent relapse; requires different set of skills than were needed to initiate change; consolidation of gains attained; not a static stage and lasts as little as six months or up to a lifetime; learn alternative coping and problem-solving strategies; replace problem behaviors with new, healthy life-style; work through emotional triggers.

**Relapse and Recycling**: expectable, but not inevitable setbacks; avoid becoming stuck, discouraged, or demoralized; learn from relapse before committing to a new cycle of action; comprehensive, multidimensional assessment to explore all reasons for relapse.

**Termination**: this stage is the ultimate goal for all changers; person exits the cycle of change, without fear of relapse; debate over whether certain problems can be terminated or merely kept in remission through maintenance strategies.

3. **The Coerced Client and Working with Referral Sources**

The mandated client can often present as hostile and resistant because they are at “action” for staying out of jail; keeping their driver’s license; saving their job or marriage; or getting their children back. In working with referral agencies whether that be a judge, probation officer, child protective services, a spouse, employer or employee assistance professional, the goal is to use the leverage of the referral source to hold the client accountable to an assessment and follow through with the treatment plan.

Unfortunately, clinicians/programs often enable criminal justice thinking by blurring the boundaries between “doing time” and “doing treatment”. For everyone involved with mandated clients, the 3 C’s are: i

- **Consequences** – It is within criminal justice’s mission to ensure that offenders take the consequences of their illegal behavior. If the court agrees that the behavior was largely caused by addiction and/or mental illness, and that the offender and the public is best served by providing treatment rather than punishment, then clinicians provide treatment not custody and incarceration. The obligation of clinicians is to ensure a person adheres to treatment; not to enforce consequences and compliance with court orders.

- **Compliance** – The offender is required to act in accordance with the court’s orders; rules and regulations. Criminal justice personnel should expect compliance. But clinicians are providing treatment where the focus is not on compliance to court orders. The focus is on whether there is a disorder needing treatment; and if there is, the expectation is for adherence to treatment, not compliance with “doing time” in a treatment place.

- **Control** – The criminal justice system aims to control, if not eliminate, illegal acts that threaten the public. While control is appropriate for the courts, clinicians and treatment programs are focused on collaborative treatment and attracting people into recovery. The only time clinicians are required to control a client is if they are in imminent danger of harm to self or others. Otherwise, as soon as that imminent danger is stabilized, treatment resumes collaboration and client empowerment, not consequences, compliance and control.

The clinician should be the one to decide on what is clinically indicated rather than feeling disempowered to determine the level of service, type of service and length of service based on the assessment of the client and his/her stage of readiness to change. Clinicians are just that, not right arms of the law or the workplace to carry out mandates determined for reasons other than clinical.
Thus, working with referral sources and engaging the identified client into treatment involves all of the principles/concepts to meet both the referral source and the client wherever they are at; to join them in a common purpose relevant to their particular needs and reason for presenting for care. The issues span the following:

- Common purpose and mission – public safety; safety for children; similar outcome goals
- Common language of assessment of stage of change – models of stages of change
- Consensus philosophy of addressing readiness to change – meeting clients where they are at; solution-focused; motivational enhancement
- Consensus on how to combine resources and leverage to effect change, responsibility and accountability – coordinated efforts to create and provide incentives and supports for change
- Communication and conflict resolution - committed to common goals of public safety; responsibility, accountability, decreased legal recidivism and lasting change; keep our collective eyes on the prize “No one succeeds unless we all succeed!”

F. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem Potential - Dimension 5 (The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 401-410)

A. Historical Pattern of Use
   1. Chronicity of Problem Use
      - Since when and how long has the individual had problem use or dependence and at what level of severity?
   2. Treatment or Change Response
      - Has he/she managed brief or extended abstinence or reduction in the past?

B. Pharmacologic Responsivity
   3. Positive Reinforcement (pleasure, euphoria)
   4. Negative Reinforcement (withdrawal discomfort, fear)

C. External Stimuli Responsivity
   5. Reactivity to Acute Cues (trigger objects and situations)
   6. Reactivity to Chronic Stress (positive and negative stressors)

D. Cognitive and behavioral measures of strengths and weaknesses
   7. Locus of Control and Self-efficacy
      - Is there an internal sense of self-determination and confidence that the individual can direct his/her own behavioral change?
   8. Coping Skills (including stimulus control, other cognitive strategies)
   9. Impulsivity (risk-taking, thrill-seeking)
   10. Passive and passive/aggressive behavior
      - Does individual demonstrate active efforts to anticipate and cope with internal and external stressors, or is there a tendency to leave or assign responsibility to others?

Example Policy and Procedure to Deal with Dimension 5 Recovery/Psychosocial Crises
Recovery and Psychosocial Crises cover a variety of situations that can arise while a patient is in treatment. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Slip/ using alcohol or other drugs while in treatment.
2. Suicidal, and the individual is feeling impulsive or wanting to use alcohol or other drugs.
3. Loss or death, disrupting the person's recovery and precipitating cravings to use or other impulsive behavior.
4. Disagreements, anger, frustration with fellow patients or therapist.
The following procedures provide steps to assist in implementing the principle of re-assessment and modification of the treatment plan:

1. Set up a face-to-face appointment as soon as possible. If not possible in a timely fashion, follow the next steps via telephone.

2. Convey an attitude of acceptance; listen and seek to understand the patient's point of view rather than lecture, enforce "program rules," or dismiss the patient's perspective.

3. Assess the patient's safety for intoxication/withdrawal and imminent risk of impulsive behavior and harm to self, others, or property. Use the six ASAM assessment dimensions to screen for severe problems and identify new issues in all biopsychosocial areas.

   1. Acute intoxication and/or withdrawal potential
   2. Biomedical conditions and complications
   3. Emotional/behavioral/cognitive conditions and complications
   4. Readiness to Change
   5. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem potential
   6. Recovery environment

4. If no immediate needs, discuss the circumstances surrounding the crisis, developing a sequence of events and precipitants leading up to the crisis. If the crisis is a slip, use the 6 dimensions as a guide to assess causes. If the crisis appears to be willful, defiant, non-adherence with the treatment plan, explore the patient's understanding of the treatment plan, level of agreement on the strategies in the treatment plan, and reasons s/he did not follow through.

5. Modify the treatment plan with patient input to address any new or updated problems that arose from your multidimensional assessment in steps 3 and 4 above.

6. Reassess the treatment contract and what the patient wants out of treatment, if there appears to be a lack of interest in developing a modified treatment plan in step 5 above. If it becomes clear that the patient is mandated and “doing time” rather than “doing treatment and change,” explore what Dimension 4, Readiness to Change motivational strategies may be effective in re-engaging the patient into treatment.

7. Determine if the modified strategies can be accomplished in the current level of care, or a more or less intensive level of care in the continuum of services or different services such as Co-Occurring Disorder Enhanced services.. The level of care decision is based on the individualized treatment plan needs, not an automatic increase in the intensity of level of care.

8. If, on completion of step 6, the patient recognizes the problem/s, and understands the need to change the treatment plan to learn and apply new strategies to deal with the newly-identified issues, but still chooses not to accept treatment, then discharge is appropriate, as he or she has chosen not to improve his/her treatment in a positive direction. Such a patient may also demonstrate his/her lack of interest in treatment by bringing alcohol or other drugs into the treatment milieu and encouraging others to use or engage in gambling behavior while in treatment. If such behavior is a willful disruption to the treatment milieu and not overwhelming Dimension 5 issues to be assessed and treated, then discharge or criminal justice graduated sanctions are appropriate to promote a recovery environment.

9. If, however, the patient is invested in treatment as evidenced by collaboration to change his/her treatment plan in a positive direction, treatment should continue. To discharge or suspend a patient for an acute reoccurrence of signs and symptoms breaks continuity of care at precisely a crisis time when the patient needs support to continue treatment. For example, if the patient is not acutely intoxicated and has alcohol on his/her breath from a couple of beers, such an individual may come to group to explore what went wrong to cause a recurrence of use and to gain support and direction to change his/her treatment plan. Concerns about “triggering” others in the group are handled no differently from if a patient was sharing trauma issues, sobbing and this triggered identification and tearfulness in other group members. Such a patient with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder would not be excluded from group or asked to leave for triggering others. Group members and/or other patients in a residential setting are best helped to deal with such “triggering” with the support of peers and a trained clinician. To protect fellow patients from exposure
to relapse or recurrence of signs and symptoms excludes the opportunity to learn new coping skills. In addition, it jeopardizes the safety of the patient at the very time he or she needs more support and guidance in such a crisis, rather than rejection, discharge, or transfer.

10. Document the crisis and modified treatment plan or discharge in the medical record.

G. **Improving the System of Care to Implement The ASAM Criteria**

1. **Case Presentation Format** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 119 -126)*

Before presenting the case, please state why you chose the case and what you want to get from the discussion

I. Identifying Client Background Data

- Name
- Age
- Ethnicity and Gender
- Marital Status
- Employment Status
- Referral Source
- Date Entered Treatment
- Level of Service Client Entered Treatment (if this case presentation is a treatment plan review)
- Current Level of Service (if this case presentation is a treatment plan review)
- DSM Diagnoses
- Stated or Identified Motivation for Treatment (What is the most important thing the clients wants you to help them with?)

First state how severe you think each assessment dimension is and why (focus on brief relevant history information and relevant here and now information):

II. Current Placement Dimension Rating *(See Dimensions below 1 - 6)*

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6.  
(Give brief explanation for each rating, note whether it has changed since client entered treatment and why or why not)

This last section we will talk about together:

III. What problem(s) with High and Medium severity rating are of greatest concern at this time?

- Specificity of the problem
- Specificity of the strategies/interventions
- Efficiency of the intervention (Least intensive, but safe, level of service)

2. **Working Effectively with Managed Care** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 119 -126)*

- Clinical discussion, not game playing - Improve communication between consumers, clinicians, providers, payers, managed care, utilization reviewers and care managers
- Use Case Presentation Format to concisely review the biopsychosocial data and focus the discussion
- Follow through Decision Tree to Match Assessment and Treatment/Placement Assignment to guide the clinical discussion
- Identify where the points of disagreement are: severity rating; priority dimension or focus of treatment; service needs; dose and intensity of services; placement level
- Offer alternative clinical data: severity rating and rationale; priority dimension or focus of treatment; service needed; dose and intensity of services; placement level
- Appeal if still no consensus
3. The ASAM Criteria Software Decision Engine - CONTINUUM™

- The ASAM Criteria book and The ASAM Criteria Software now branded as Continuum™ are companion text and application
- The text delineates the dimensions, levels of care, and decision rules that comprise The ASAM Criteria
- The software provides an approved structured interview to guide adult assessment and calculate the complex decision tree to yield suggested levels of care, which are verified through the text
- www.asamcontinuum.org; Mare Meadows at ASAM: mmeadows@asam.org
- David Gastfriend, M.D., Chief Architect of The ASAM Criteria Software: gastfriend@gmail.com

4. Gathering Data on Policy and Payment Barriers (The ASAM Criteria 2013, p 126)

- Policy, payment and systems issues cannot change quickly. However, as a first step towards reframing frustrating situations into systems change, each incident of inefficient or in adequate meeting of a client’s needs can be a data point that sets the foundation for strategic planning and change
- Finding efficient ways to gather data as it happens in daily care can provide hope/direction for change

**PLACEMENT SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Care/Service Indicated</th>
<th>Insert the ASAM Level number that offers the most appropriate level of care/service that can provide the service intensity needed to address the client’s current functioning/severity; and/or the service needed e.g., shelter, housing, vocational training, transportation, language interpreter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of Care/Service Received</td>
<td>ASAM Level number -- If the most appropriate level or service is not utilized, insert the most appropriate placement or service available and circle the Reason for Difference between Indicated and Received Level or Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Outcome If Service Cannot Be Provided</td>
<td>Circle only one number - 1. Admitted to acute care setting; 2. Discharged to street; 3. Continued stay in acute care facility; 4. Incarcerated; 5. Client will dropout until next crisis; 6. Not listed (Specify):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Ann**

DSM-5 Diagnosis: Alcohol Use Disorder, severe; and Cannabis Use Disorder, severe; Major Depression

Ann, a 32-year-old white, divorced female, came in for assessment for the first time ever. She has been abstinent for 48 hours from alcohol and reports that she has remained so far up to 72 hours during the past three months. When she has done this she states she has experienced sweats, internal tremors and nausea, but has never hallucinated, experienced D.T.’s or seizures.

She states she is in good health except for alcoholic hepatitis for which she was just released from the hospital one week ago. Her doctor referred her for assessment. She smokes up to 3 or 4 joints a day, but stopped yesterday. In addition to the above, Ann describes two past suicide attempts using sleeping pills, but the most recent attempt was three years ago and she sees a psychiatrist once a month for review of her medication. She takes Prozac for the depression and doesn’t report abuse of her medication.
Ann reported that she lives in a rented apartment and has very few friends since moving away after her divorce a year ago. She is currently unemployed after being laid off when the supermarket she worked at closed. She has worked as a waitress, check-out person and sales person before and says she has never lost a job due to addiction.

Ann appears slightly anxious, but is not flushed. She speaks calmly and is cooperative. Ann shows awareness of her consequences from chemical use, but tends to minimize it and blame others including her ex-husband who left her without warning. She doesn’t know much about alcoholism/chemical dependency, but wants to learn more. She has one son, age 11, who doesn’t see any problems with her drinking and doesn’t know about her marijuana use.

**Tracy**

A 16-year-old young woman is brought into the emergency room of an acute care hospital. She had gotten into an argument with her parents and ended up throwing a chair. There was some indication that she was intoxicated at the time and her parents have been concerned about her coming home late and mixing with the wrong crowd. There has been a lot of family discord and there is mutual anger and frustration between the teen and especially her father. No previous psychiatric or addiction treatment.

The parents are both present at the ER, but the police who had been called by her mother brought her. The ER physician and nurse from the psychiatric unit who came from the unit to evaluate the teen, both feel she needs to be in hospital given the animosity at home, the violent behavior and the question of intoxication. Using the six ASAM assessment dimensions, the biopsychosocial clinical data is organized as follows:

**Dimension 1**, Intoxication/Withdrawal: though intoxicated at home not long before the chair-throwing incident, she is no longer intoxicated and has not been using alcohol or other drugs in large enough quantities for long enough to suggest any withdrawal danger.

**Dimension 2**, Biomedical Conditions/Complications: she is not on any medications, has been healthy physically and has no current complaints

**Dimension 3**, Emotional/Behavioral/Cognitive: complex problems with the anger, frustration and family discord; chair throwing incident this evening, but is not impulsive at present in the ER.

**Dimension 4**, Readiness to Change: willing to talk to therapist; blames her parents for being overbearing and not trusting her; agrees to treatment, but doesn’t want to be at home at least for tonight.

**Dimension 5**, Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem Potential: high likelihood that if released to go back home immediately, there would be a reoccurrence of the fighting and possibly violence again, at least with father.

**Dimension 6**, Recovery Environment: parents frustrated and angry too; mistrustful of patient; and want her in the hospital to cut down on the family fighting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity Profile:</th>
<th>Dimension:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Services Needed:</td>
<td>Severity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Site of Care:*
**Angela**, a 28 y/o, pregnant (1st trimester), mother of 2 and is being referred to drug treatment by Child Welfare and Probation.

The referral states Angela was arrested for driving under the influence while her children were in the car (2 y/o, 4 y/o). Angela’s children were unrestrained, unkept, and not clothed appropriately for the cold weather. When Angela was pulled over, she was arrested due to a previous warrant and the children were immediately removed and placed in foster care. Angela’s toxicology screen at the time of her arrest was positive for alcohol and methamphetamine. Angela spent 30 days in jail and is reporting abstinence since her release 4 days ago.

Child Welfare reports that the client has a history of psychiatric hospitalizations and does not want to take her Bipolar medications because of the pregnancy. Prior to her arrest, she and her children were homeless for 2 months.

During your intake, Angela reports feeling that substances are not an issue for her and she does not need a drug treatment program. Client states, “I was clean in jail and since getting out I have not used.”

**Questions:**

1. What does Angela want that will drive the assessment and treatment process?

2. To assess severity in each of the 6 ASAM Criteria assessment dimensions, what clinical information for each dimension do you need, if missing in this vignette? Indicate which dimension has missing information and specify what more information you want.

**Roger**, a 53 y/o male client presents for a substance use treatment screening at the request of his probation officer. Information obtained from probation indicates the client has been prescribed Opioid medications for chronic pain due to injuries he sustained after a motorcycle accident 3 years ago. The client was recently involved in a DUI and found to be in possession of narcotics that were not prescribed to him. The client shares with you that he would be interested in talking to someone about the death of his mother 4 months ago and tearfully states his life has “fallen apart again” and that “everything has gotten worse.”

The client goes on to express anger at his probation officer and primary care doctor for wanting him to find “different ways to manage the pain.” The client states that if people felt as bad as he did “they would need the pills too.” Client’s self-report indicates that he drinks alcohol 3 times per week, 3-5 beers each time, and feels that the police may have “set him up.” The client alleges that it was “not possible” that his blood alcohol was over the legal limit and that “the pills for pain don’t impact my driving.” The client reports that he takes 8-12 Oxycodone tablets per day and used to “borrow” pills from his mother when he was “feeling really bad.” The client states that he knows his probation officer is requiring him to attend treatment, but he does not really want to.

**Questions:**

1. What two goals for treatment are most important to Roger?

2. To assess severity in each of the 6 ASAM Criteria assessment dimensions, what clinical information for each dimension do you need, if missing in this vignette? Indicate which dimension has missing information and specify what more information you want.
**Stephanie**, a 24 y/o, Caucasian, mother of 2 children has been referred by her primary care physician for substance use counseling. The client reports that when she was 4 months pregnant she was able to stop using methamphetamine, but since delivering her child 6 months ago, she has gradually returned to using 3-4 times per week (smoking/snorting). The client states that she began using methamphetamine when she was in high school because it helped her to control her weight and feel more social. At the peak of her use she was smoking methamphetamine daily and that she continued to use when she was first pregnant, but now she uses “just to get through the hard days.”

The client reports she feels apprehensive about attending a drug treatment program because she has always felt anxious and overwhelmed in social settings, she does not have any childcare options, and does not want CPS to know that she has a drug problem “again.” The client reports taking “pills for depression,” but feels they are no longer effective. The client reports that she wants to quit using and feels she may be able to stop on her own, but her grandmother told her that if she did not get into treatment she would have to “move out and live in the shelter.”

**Questions:**

1. To assess severity in each of the 6 ASAM Criteria assessment dimensions, what clinical information for each dimension do you need, if missing in this vignette? Indicate which dimension has missing information and specify what more information you want.

**John** is a 41 y/o, Caucasian, married, father of 3 has been referred for outpatient drug treatment after successfully completing 30 days in residential. His screening paperwork indicates that after he was discharged 3 days ago, he relapsed on alcohol and methamphetamine.

John shares that he is on probation for drug possession. Information from John’s probation officer reveals that he has a history of assaultive behavior, probation violations, and was placed on a 5150 hold while in residential treatment for substance use and stayed in the hospital for 3 days. John states that he knows that he has a drug and alcohol problem, but he has never been able to abstain for longer than 2-3 weeks on his own. He is worried that he will relapse now that he is out of residential and wants to be free of all substances, even his mental health medications.

John lost his job after his arrest and shares that his wife will not allow him to see their kids. He tearfully states that she told him she would call the police if he came back to the house. John denies any history of assaultive or suicidal behavior and states that the 5150 was “really just a misunderstanding.”

**Questions:**

1. To assess severity in each of the 6 ASAM Criteria assessment dimensions, what clinical information for each dimension do you need, if missing in this vignette? Indicate which dimension has missing information and specify what more information you want.

2. What history information, as worded in this vignette, is incompatible with the spirit and concepts of The ASAM Criteria?
**Wanda on Welfare**

Wanda is a 46-year-old divorced woman who was married at 18 to a male who was emotionally and physically abusive and lived at home less than half of the time of their eight-year marriage. The marriage was also characterized by infidelities by both her and her husband and regular and sometimes heavy marijuana and alcohol use. Two children resulted from this marriage, a son, Juan, now 26 and a daughter, Rosa, now 24. She has had no contact with either of these children for the last 12 years after she became pregnant and delivered a baby girl, Gloria, from an African-American father, whom she says she met in a bar one night and doesn’t know his name. She was referred for assessment by her caseworker.

In the last 20 years, since the divorce, her drinking and marijuana use have increased markedly and she would often spend her days at home alone with Gloria, drinking and smoking heavily and neglecting her daughter. On one occasion the authorities became involved and threatened to remove Gloria from the home. As a result, she began seeing a counselor and at her suggestion, she began attending AA and NA briefly. Her counselor retired from practice and Wanda discontinued recovery group meeting attendance. The issue of custody apparently ceased being an issue but Wanda does not know why.

She is the child of an alcohol-addicted father whom she alternately idolized and feared and who was seductive but not openly sexual with her as she was growing up. He father was killed in barroom brawl when she was 30 years old. Her mother 67 years old, lives alone and is still doesn’t agree about Wanda’s father’s alcoholism. She is the younger of two female children and her older sister is a teetotaler and a pillar of her church. They have not had contact in about three years.

A year ago she again began attending AA and claims she enjoys it. She attends weekly. She now drinks about once a week without apparent problem. She no longer smokes pot. She does feel hypocritical attending AA and still drinking but she neither wants to stop drinking nor discontinue her AA attendance because she has a few women friends there. They do not know about her current drinking. She had considered finding another counselor because of her dissatisfaction with her life but never translates this into action. She does not believe that she has a drinking problem. She is not sure what she wants, other than what she has.

She lives with Gloria in a rented apartment and spends most of her day watching television and considers herself a “soap opera addict.” She is in a relationship with a drug dealer although she says not to use any of the cocaine or heroin that her boyfriend sells. She likes him because “he buys her things.” He also helps with the rent although he does not live there. Gloria is doing poorly in school and has been picked up for a shoplifting offense. On two occasions she told Wanda that she was spending the night with a girlfriend and this was later determined to be untrue. Wanda has no idea where she was each of those nights. They are in a constant struggle with Gloria calling her mother a “slob” and Wanda calls Gloria a “tramp.”

She has been on welfare for most of her adult life and sees nothing unusual or undesirable about it. She has never worked outside of a few brief stints earlier as a dishwasher (2 times, once for 2 weeks and once for 3 weeks) and as attendant in a car wash (1 month). Both jobs came to an end because of her failure to show up for work because of using, oversleeping or being hung over. She has no job skills and is not particularly interested in acquiring such skills or working. She is aware that her welfare benefits will be terminated if she doesn’t do something about work and feels that the State is being unfair.

Wanda said she has no medical problems although she states that she can’t wait for menopause because her periods are so painful and her bleeding so heavy. She later added that she has migraine headaches although has never seen a doctor about them. Her affect is slightly flattened but beyond that, she neither appears depressed nor does she say that she is depressed. She has never sought addiction or mental health treatment except for the earlier six-month period with the counselor.
Cathy

Age: 27

Chief Complaint: “I’m a junkie”

Occupation: Waitress

History:
* Twenty-seven year old, single, female waitress with long history of using multiple substances presenting because of withdrawal symptoms, accompanied by her parents.
* Started marijuana at age 15 with weekend alcohol and other drug use until college.
* In college, used marijuana heavily almost daily and LSD once a month.
* A year ago, began a methamphetamine binge, which terminated three months later with a methamphetamine-induced psychosis. After a seven-day psychiatric hospitalization, she did well for two months.
* Began marijuana again and also heroin. She spends $40-$50/day which she finances by waitressing. Some occasional cocaine use. No legal problems.
* Around age 18, she was diagnosed with a panic disorder and began on Klonopin and has been maintained on 3 - 4 mg./day ever since. This diagnosis was made approximately one year after the birth of a child resulting from a rape. Incident was kept secret from parents until she was close to labor.
* Has tried to quit using twice recently in the last month, but was too physically ill. Came for help because a drug-using friend is also trying to quit and called her parents to tell them of their daughter’s drug use.
* No previous addiction treatment, but did attend four Narcotics Anonymous meetings with NA friend but felt she wasn’t as bad as everyone there.
* Complaints of muscle aches, irritability, anxiety, tremulousness, tearfulness, abdominal cramps and nausea. Also heavy menstrual bleeding and feeling tired and weak.
* Last use of heroin morning of evaluation.

Past Medical History:
* Two heroin overdoses resulting in respiratory arrest, but one of her shooting buddies was a registered nurse who administered CPR.
* History of heavy menstrual bleeding and pelvic inflammatory disease.

Family History:
* Both grandfathers suffered from alcohol use disorder.
* Father somewhat distant, but is the disciplinarian.
* Mother “enabling”.
* No other psychiatric illness in family.
* Only child.

Social History:
* Single; never married and has boyfriend who drinks addictively and two good friends in NA.
* Lives with parents, who supplement her income and give free room and board.
* Has not seen child since giving him up for adoption at age 17.
* Waitress.
* Family not religious. No religious affiliation.
Review of Systems and Physical and Mental Status Examination:

* Symptoms of abdominal cramps, nausea and diffuse muscle aches.

* Pale and still some menstrual bleeding.

* Anxiety, tremulousness and irritability, but not suicidal nor homicidal, and is cooperative, wanting to feel better and please her parents. Would like to get “clean and sober” to perhaps see her child, or at least get married and have another baby. But also doesn’t know if she can stop using or whether she would attend NA.

* Physical and mental status exam: BP 110/70; Pulse 90; Respirations 18. Mild discomfort; IV track marks in right antecubital fossa; increased bowel sounds; some dilatation of pupils.

* Laboratory data: CBC, Chem 20, HIV negative; not pregnant.

Diagnoses:

- Opioid Use Disorder; Methamphetamine Use Disorder; Cannabis Use Disorder.
- Panic Disorder Without Agoraphobia.
LITERATURE REFERENCES

“Addiction Treatment Matching – Research Foundations of the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Criteria” Ed. David R. Gastfriend has released 2004 by The Haworth Medical Press. David Gastfriend edited this special edition that represents a significant body of work presented in eight papers. The papers address questions about nosology, methodology, and population differences and raise important issues to continually refine further work on the ASAM PPC. (To order: www.haworthpress.com)


For more information on the new edition: www.ASAMcriteria.org


RESOURCE FOR ASAM E-LEARNING AND INTERACTIVE JOURNALS

E-learning module on “ASAM Multidimensional Assessment” and “From Assessment to Service Planning and Level of Care”– 5 CE credits for each module . “Introduction to The ASAM Criteria” (2 CEU hours) “Understanding the Dimensions of Change” – Creating an effective service plan” – Interactive Journaling “Moving Forward” – Guiding individualized service planning” – Interactive Journaling

To order: The Change Companies at 888-889-8866; changecompanies.net

CLIENT WORKBOOKS AND INTERACTIVE JOURNALS

The Change Companies’ MEE (Motivational, Educational and Experiential) Journal System provides Interactive journaling for clients. It provides the structure of multiple, pertinent topics from which to choose; but allows for flexible personalized choices to help this particular client at this particular stage of his or her stage of readiness and interest in change.

To order: The Change Companies at 888-889-8866. www.changecompanies.net.

FREE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER

“TIPS and TOPICS” – Three sections: Savvy, Skills and Soul and at times additional sections: Stump the Shrink; Success Stories and Sharing Solutions. Sign up on tipsntopics.com at the top of the Home page.