1. UNDERTAKING DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Route (Local Agency)</th>
<th>Local Assistance Project Prefix</th>
<th>Post Miles (Project No.)</th>
<th>Charge Unit (Agreement)</th>
<th>Expenditure Authorization (Location)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>SCL</td>
<td>0-CR</td>
<td>Bridge No.</td>
<td>37C159 Replacement</td>
<td>STPLZ</td>
<td>5937(058)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project Description:

The proposed project will replace existing Bridge 37C-0159 at Alamitos Road over Alamitos Creek in an unincorporated area of Santa Clara County south of the City of San Jose and north of the Almaden Reservoir. This bridge, an important element of vehicle transportation to and through the New Almaden area, is situated approximately 0.8 miles south of the junction of Almaden and Bertram roads and approximately 0.2 miles north of the Almaden Reservoir (USGS Santa Teresa Hills, Calif. 1980; unsectioned T 9S, R 1E). Funding will be from the Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program (HBRR) and local funds.

The existing bridge, Bridge 37C0159, is a 2-lane 3-span structure approximately 152 feet long and 26 feet wide. The bridge was built in 1935 and widened/extended in 1964 and does not meet current American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards.

The replacement bridge, Bridge 37C0603, will be constructed adjacent to, on the upstream side of, the existing bridge. The replacement bridge will consist of a 3-span structure with 2-lanes of traffic, 4-foot shoulders, one sidewalk, and railings.

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), acting as the lead agency under the delegated authority of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is providing the project oversight as federal funds are involved that will be administered by the District 04 Office of Local Assistance. The studies conducted for this project are consistent with Caltrans responsibilities under the January 2004 Programmatic Agreement Among the Federal Highway Administration, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the California State Historic Preservation Office, and the California Department of Transportation Regarding Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as it Pertains to the Administration of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in California (PA) for compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). Santa Clara County (Roads & Airports Department) is the lead local agency and local sponsor.

The bridge has been evaluated as Category 5 - not eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places or California Register of Historical Resources.

Structure

The County proposes to replace the existing bridge with a new bridge (37C0603) which will accommodate two traffic lanes with shoulders and a single sidewalk. The replacement bridge will be constructed adjacent to, on the upstream side of, the existing bridge. The replacement bridge will consist of a 3-span structure with 2-lanes of traffic, 4-foot shoulders, one sidewalk, and railings. The piles for the new bridge will be drilled to approximately 30-40 feet while the two new bridge abutments will require excavation approximately of 15 feet.

Right-of-Way Acquisition

The project will require right-of-way from portions of two parcels:

- APN 583-22-008 is an approximately 6,000 ft² undeveloped parcel. Right-of-way to be acquired from this parcel is estimated to be approximately 3,100 ft².
- APN 583-22-010 (22191 Alamitos Road) is an approximately 41,500 ft² parcel that includes a house. Right-of-way to be acquired from this parcel is estimated to be approximately 2,800 ft². Acquisition will not require the removal of the house.

For the federal undertaking described in Part 1: To minimize redundancy and paperwork for the California Department of Transportation and the State Historic Preservation Officer, and in the spirit intended under the federal Paperwork Reduction Act (U.S.C. 44 Chapter 35), this document also satisfies consideration under California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section §15064.5(a) and, as appropriate, Public Resources Code §5024 (a)(b) and (d).
2. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS

The Area of Potential Effects (APE) encompasses all areas where work associated with the project will occur: the replacement of the existing bridge, approach improvements, new right-of-way, and, any in-channel work (United States Geological Survey (hereafter USGS) Santa Teresa Hills, Calif. 1980, unsectioned T 9S, R 1E) [see Exhibits, APE Map].

The APE is included within the property boundary established for the “New Almaden” National Historic Landmark District (NHL: 66000236) which is formally listed as CA-SCI-405H (P-43-000411) [see Fig. 3 ASR]. Although the APE is within the boundary of the NHL, none of the features or buildings associated with the NHL is located in, partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE.

Temporary Construction Easements (TCEs) outside of the APE will not be required for the project as public right-of-way within the APE will be used for all activities. None of the improvements will occur outside of the APE.

The APE map was signed by Barni Roncal, County of Santa Clara Roads & Airport Department on November 19, 2009; and by Frances Schierenbeck, Caltrans District 4 Professionally Qualified Staff (PQS) on December 22, 2009 and by Rolando Nimis, Local Assistance Engineer, Office of Local Assistance, Caltrans District 4 on December 22, 2009 [APE Map].

See Exhibits, Figs. 1-2 for the Study Vicinity Map, Study Location Map, and Area of Potential Effects Map.

3. CONSULTING PARTIES / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Local Government (Head of local government, Preservation Office / Planning Department)

Santa Clara County Roads and Airport Department is the lead local agency.

Native American Tribes, Groups and Individuals

No responses received to letter request; follow-up telephone calls initiated 1/21/10 during preparation of draft ASR. Messages left for five individuals; one responded that project was out of his tribal area. Email sent to one individual; no response. Three individuals responded to telephone calls.

Four responses were received. Mr. V. Lopez noted that project was outside of his tribal area; no comment; Ms. A. M. Sayers recommended archaeological monitoring during ground disturbance; Ms. Jakki Kehl wanted copies of “clearinghouse” search and had concern with work along creek and was unable to render a decision; and Mr. A. Galvan recommended standard protocols be followed in the event of a discovery [see Exhibits, ASR].

One Native American (J. Kehl) wanted copies of the Information Center search as she was concerned with work along the creek. She was unable to render a decision regarding the project without the Information Center search information. She stated a phone call is not adequate consultation. BASIN indicated that she should contact Caltrans (District 4) and provided her with name of project lead Frances Schierenbeck who we understood was handling the bridge replacement (Caltrans PQS who signed the APE map). We indicated that Ms. Schierenbeck could then discuss project and provide results of Information Center search (through BASIN). She could also discuss Caltrans consultation requirements. Discussion was not continued.

One Native American (S. Sayers) recommended that a Native American Monitor and Archaeological Monitor be on site during ground disturbance. She asked what would happen to any cultural materials discovered during construction. BASIN replied that definitely work would stop and the situation evaluated by Caltrans. She also asked that if any burials are discovered is there an area where they can be reburied without further disturbance. BASIN responded that area was very steep and rocky and recommendations of most likely descendant would have to be considered. The individual seems satisfied and conversation ended.

One Native American (A. Galvan) responded that if something is encountered the proper measures should be implemented (i.e., contact County Coroner and Native American Heritage Commission if Native American remains are...
exposed and follow recommendations).

No further communications were received from any of the three individuals who responded including the individual who requested a copy of the Information Center search. In addition, none of our messages were returned from individuals who we were able to leave messages with. BASIN also received no communications regarding further consultation from Caltrans (i.e., in regard to Ms. Kehl) and/or our client’s project manager.

**Native American Heritage Commission**

Letter to Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) 10/06/09 requesting review of Sacred Lands Inventory. NAHC review negative (10/20/09). Information letters sent to nine individuals identified by NAHC as possible having area specific information (10/21/09). No responses received; follow-up telephone calls initiated 1/21/10 during preparation of draft ASR (see Native American above).

**Public Information Meetings (list locations, dates below and attach copies of notices)**

County will publish community notices prior to bridge construction.

### 4. SUMMARY OF IDENTIFICATION EFFORTS

- **National Register of Historic Places**  
  Month & Year: 1979-2002 & supplements

- **California Register of Historical Resources**  
  Year: 1992 & supplemental information to date

- **California Inventory of Historic Resources**  
  Year: 1976

- **California Historical Landmarks**  
  Year: 1995 & supplemental information to date

- **California Points of Historical Interest**  
  Year: 1992 & supplemental information to date

- **State Historic Resources Commission**  
  Year: 1980-present, minutes from quarterly meetings

- **Caltrans Historic Highway Bridge Inventory**  
  Year: 2006 & supplemental information to date

- **Archaeological Site Records [List names of Institutions & date below]**
  The records search for the project and area within 0.25 miles was conducted by the California Historical Resources Information System, Northwest Information Center, CSU (CHRIS/NWIC File No. 09-0431 dated November 16, 2009 by Lisa C. Hagel, staff) [see Exhibits].

  This records search area of radius was selected due to the size of the APE, type of construction project, the location of the APE within the existing right-of-way in rural Santa Clara County, and the absence of temporary construction easements (TCEs).

- **Other sources consulted [e.g., historical societies, city archives, etc. List names and dates below]**
  **Historic Properties Directory** for Santa Clara County (2009) including updates of National Register of Historic Places, California Historical Landmarks, and California Points of Historical Interest, etc.;
  **The California History Plan; Five Views: An Ethnic Sites Survey for California; and Historic Civil Engineering Landmarks of San Francisco and Northern California.**
  **Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility** for Santa Clara County (2009).
  **Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory** and South County update
  **Santa Clara County General Plan**
  Various government and private historic maps (see ASR for review)

- **Results: (provide a brief summary of records search and research results, as well as inventory findings)**

  No prehistoric and historic archaeological resources were identified in or adjacent to the APE as a result of the records search or literature review.

  The APE is situated within the boundary of CA-SCI-405H (P-43-000411), "New Almaden" National Historic Landmark District (NHL; 66000236). The landmark is listed under National Register of Historic Place criterion "a." No other listed, determined or pending archaeological sites, significant local, state or federal historic properties, landmarks, etc. have been identified in or adjacent to the APE.

  Six (6) cultural resources compliance reports/forms on file with the CHRIS/NWIC include the APE, part of the APE, and/or area adjacent to the APE. None of the reports/forms identify archaeological or architectural resources in,
partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE.

No known ethnographic or contemporary Native American resources, including villages, sacred places, traditional or contemporary use areas, have been identified in, partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE.

No Hispanic era archaeological resources have been recorded or reported in, partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE.

No American era historic archaeological resources were identified in, partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE as a result of the records search and literature review.

No prehistoric or historic archaeological resources were observed during the field survey of the APE.

Cultural Resources Sensitivity

There appears to be a very low potential for exposing subsurface prehistoric or historic archaeological materials within the APE during the proposed construction. This conclusion is based on the geological conditions and prior native ground disturbance including landslides and stream channelization. The construction operations will occur within the existing channelized streambed and landslide affected banks and adjacent slopes in areas that have already been reworked by past flooding, impacted by previous channelization and disturbed by bridge construction as well as previous slope movement. Subsurface testing does not appear appropriate within the APE due to the very low potential for discovering buried archaeological resources during construction.

5. PROPERTIES IDENTIFIED

x Ward Hill (M.A.), consulting architectural historian who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in Section 106 Programmatic Agreement Attachment 1 as an architectural historian, has determined that the only/only other properties present within the APE meet the criteria for Section 106 PA Attachment 4 (Properties Exempt from Evaluation).

The house and outbuilding at 22191 Alamitos Road were reviewed on November 17, 2009 with the property owner. Assessor records indicate a construction date of 1935 for the house. However, it was totally rebuilt and enlarged by the current owner in 1983-84; thus it is now essentially a new house. Based on the field survey, the house now is almost two and a half times larger than the original 1935 house (950 square feet according to public records). The exterior walls are modern stucco and the house has modern anodized aluminum frame windows. Nothing survives of the exterior of the original house. The small tool shed near the house dates from the 1970s according to the property owner.

Given the extensive remodeling of the house, the property is exempt under Attachment 4 of the PA since the house was extensively altered in 1983-84 and does not warrant further evaluation (Property Type 3 – buildings that now appear to be 30 years old or less).

x Bridges listed as Category 5 in the Caltrans Historic Highway Bridge Inventory are present within the APE. Appropriate pages from the Caltrans Historic Bridge Inventory are attached.

x Properties previously listed or determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places are present within the Project APE.

The APE is included within the property boundary established for the "New Almaden" National Historic Landmark District (NHL; 66000236) which is formally listed as CA-SCI-405H (P-43-000411). The NHL was listed July 4, 1961 [see Exhibits, ASR for National Survey of Historic Sites and Buildings form and National Register of Historic Places Inventory Nomination Form for the New Almaden Historic District]. None of the features or buildings associated with the NHL and listed in the National Survey or National Register form or shown on the USGS Santa Teresa Hills topographic map are located in, partly in, and/or adjacent to the APE.

6. LIST OF ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION

x Project Vicinity, Location, and APE Maps

x California Historic Bridge Inventory sheet

x Archaeological Survey Report (ASR)

Archaeological Survey Report – Bridge Replacement Project Alamitos Creek Bridge at Almaden Creek on Alamitos Road Bridge No. 37C0159 (New Bridge No. 37C0603), Santa Clara County, California (Federal Project No. STPLZ 5937(058) 04-SCL-0-CR by Basin Research Associates (June 2010)
7. HPSR to File

- **x** Other (Specify below)
  see Exhibits, ASR for National Survey of Historic Sites and Buildings form and National Register of Historic Places Inventory Nomination Form for the New Almaden Historic District.

- **x** No properties requiring evaluation are present within the Project APE.
- **x** Properties previously determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, in consultation with the SHPO, or formally determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places by the Keeper of the National Register are present within the Project APE, but will not be affected by the undertaking. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached – no SHPO/Keeper correspondence available.
- **x** As assigned by FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation IX.A and 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), is appropriate for this undertaking.

8. HPSR to SHPO

- **x** Not applicable.


- **x** Not applicable; project does not involve Caltrans right-of-way or Caltrans-owned property.

10. CEQA IMPACT FINDINGS

- **x** Not applicable; Caltrans is not the lead agency under CEQA.

11. HPSR PREPARATION AND DEPARTMENT APPROVAL

Prepared by (sign on line):
District 04 Caltrans PQS: 
PQS level and discipline: Date

Prepared by: (sign on line)
Consultant / discipline: Archaeology Date
Affiliation 

Reviewed for approval by: (sign on line)
District ___ Caltrans PQS discipline/level: [PQS certification level] Date

Approved by: (sign on line)
District___ EBC: [Environmental Branch name] Date
EXHIBITS

FIGURES

Figure 1  Study Vicinity Map
Figure 2  Study Location Map (USGS Santa Teresa Hills, Calif. 1980)
Figure 3  Area of Potential Effects within New Almaden Quicksilver
National Historic Landmark District (USGS Santa Teresa Hills,
Calif. 1980)
APE Map  AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS (Signed)

BRIDGE INVENTORY SHEET

SHEET 1  CALTRANS Structure Maintenance & Investigations. Historical
Significance Local Agency Bridges, District 04, Santa Clara
County (April 2008)

REPORTS
(Separately Attached)

REPORT 1  Archaeological Survey Report - Bridge Replacement Project
Alamitos Creek Bridge at Almaden Creek on Alamitos Road
Bridge No. 37C0159 (New Bridge No. 37C0603), Santa Clara
County, California (Federal Project No. STPLZ 5937(058) 04-
SCL-0-CR by Basin Research Associates (June 2010)
Figure 1: Study Vicinity Map
Figure 2: Study Location Map (USGS Santa Teresa Hills, Calif. 1980)
Figure 3: Area of Potential Effects within New Almaden Quicksilver National Historic Landmark District (USGS Santa Teresa Hills, Calif. 1980)
Note: Archaeological APF includes all potential locations for construction access and staging.
## Structure Maintenance & Investigations

### Historical Significance - Local Agency Bridges

**District 04**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge Number</th>
<th>Bridge Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Historical Significance</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Year Wid/Ext</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37C0116</td>
<td>SARATOGA CREEK</td>
<td>0.8 MI E LWRNCE EXPRS WAY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0117</td>
<td>LOYOLA DR Overcrossing</td>
<td>AT FOOTHILL EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0119</td>
<td>WILDCAT CREEK</td>
<td>NEAR ALLENADE AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0120</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO ROAD SOH</td>
<td>AT ALMA ST</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0121</td>
<td>BAILEY/CENTRAL SOH(SPTCO</td>
<td>AT CENTRAL EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0122</td>
<td>SP/UP,CENTRAL EXPWY,EVEL</td>
<td>AT CENTRAL EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0123</td>
<td>CENTRAL EXPWY</td>
<td>AT CENTRAL EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0124</td>
<td>MATHILDA AVE</td>
<td>AT CENTRAL EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0125</td>
<td>SUNNYVALE AVENUE</td>
<td>CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0126</td>
<td>MORSE AVENUE OC</td>
<td>CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0127</td>
<td>BERRYESSA CREEK</td>
<td>0.3 MI W OF I-680</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0129</td>
<td>LAFAYETTE STREET UP (CALTRAIN, UP RR, AMTRAK)</td>
<td>0.25 MI NORTH OF SR 82</td>
<td>4. Historical Significance not determined</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0133</td>
<td>MATADERO CREEK</td>
<td>0.3 MI SOUTH OF I-280</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0134</td>
<td>YERBA BUENA CREEK</td>
<td>YERBA BUENA RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0135L</td>
<td>PENITENCIA CREEK</td>
<td>NEAR PENITENCIA CREEK RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0135R</td>
<td>PENITENCIA CREEK</td>
<td>NEAR PENITENCIA CREEK RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0136</td>
<td>SAN TOMAS AQUINO CREEK</td>
<td>AT SAN TOMAS EXPWY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0137</td>
<td>GUADALUPE RIVER (WILLOW ST)</td>
<td>NR MINNESOTA AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1917 1955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0138</td>
<td>SAN JOSE UP (CALTRAIN &amp; UP RR)</td>
<td>0.05 MI NE OF SR 87</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0139</td>
<td>PARKWAY SCHOOL POC</td>
<td>0.5 MI S/O SARATOGA AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0140</td>
<td>SILVER CREEK</td>
<td>500’ SOUTH OF LYNDALE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0141</td>
<td>GUADALUPE RIVER (CURTNER AVE)</td>
<td>NEAR ALMADEN RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0142</td>
<td>COYOTE CREEK</td>
<td>1.1 MI N/E MONTEREY RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0143</td>
<td>THOMPSON CREEK</td>
<td>NR CAPITOL EXPRESSWAY</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0144</td>
<td>SILVER CREEK</td>
<td>MCKEE ROAD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1951 1984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0146</td>
<td>SANTA CLARA JUNCTION OH</td>
<td>N/E EL CAMINO REAL</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0148</td>
<td>SARATOGA CREEK</td>
<td>N HOMESTEAD RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0149</td>
<td>LOS GATOS CREEK</td>
<td>NEAR SH 17</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0151</td>
<td>OREGON PAGE MILL EXPWY</td>
<td>SHERIDAN AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0152</td>
<td>SHERIDAN AVE Overcrossing</td>
<td>NEAR ALMA ST</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961 1984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0153</td>
<td>PALO ALTO Underpass</td>
<td>NEAR ALMA ST</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0154</td>
<td>LAWRENCE EXPRESSWAY</td>
<td>STEVENS CRK BLVD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1996 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0157</td>
<td>LOS GATOS CREEK</td>
<td>NEAR BASCOM AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1960 1982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0158</td>
<td>HICKS CREEK</td>
<td>0.1 MI N REYNOLDS RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1919 1979</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0159</td>
<td>ALAMITOS CREEK</td>
<td>0.8 MI S OF ALMADEN</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1935 1964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0160</td>
<td>ALAMITOS CREEK</td>
<td>0.3 MI S OF ALMADEN</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0161</td>
<td>LLAGAS CREEK</td>
<td>0.5 MI SE WATSONVILLE RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0162</td>
<td>FISHER CREEK</td>
<td>0.35 MI SW SNTA TERESA B</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1947 1976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0163</td>
<td>LLAGAS CREEK</td>
<td>0.4 MI W/O BOWDEN AVE</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C0164</td>
<td>LLAGAS CR (OAK GLEN)</td>
<td>3.19 MI SE UVAS RD</td>
<td>5. Bridge not eligible for NRHP</td>
<td>1940 1975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*hs_local.rdf*